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## 1. Executive Summary

The Vermillion River Watershed Joint Powers Organization (VRWJPO) contracted with InterFluve to conduct a fluvial geomorphic assessment of the Vermillion River from US Highway 52 (Hwy 52) to Vermillion Falls in the City of Hastings, referred to here as the Lower Vermillion River. The goals of this rapid geomorphic assessment were to:

1. Examine channel condition and stability
2. Assess riparian and aquatic habitats
3. Note infrastructure near, in, or crossing the Vermillion River and issues relating to the interactions between the river and infrastructure
4. Note past project conditions
5. Identify potential projects to improve water quality and aquatic and riparian ecosystems within the Vermillion River
6. Quantify pollutant reductions in select potential projects

The Lower Vermillion River flows approximately 13.5 miles from Hwy 52 to Vermillion Falls. The geomorphic assessment noted information on soils, stream bed grain size, infrastructure, land use and vegetation. Background research was also done on bedrock, surface geology, and historic conditions.

Inter-Fluve identified 34 potential projects (Appendices D-F), which were prioritized based on project metrics specifically designed for this project (Table 1). Projects primarily address one of 5 issues:

1) Localized bank erosion,
2) Early successional and sparsely vegetated riparian corridor,
3) Agricultural and urban encroachment,
4) Undersized and aging stream crossing infrastructure,
5) Lack of instream habitat complexity.

VRWJPO identified pollutant loading as a specific concern in the Lower Vermillion, specifically sediment, nitrogen and phosphorus. Our analysis of bank erosion sites and comparison to previously reported pollutant loading in the Vermillion River shows that nonpoint pollution and upstream sources are the primary cause of sediment pollution in the Lower Mainstem Vermillion River. We evaluated seven potential projects that had noticeable bank erosion and analyzed aerial photographs from the mid-1900s through 2016 to estimate the rate of erosion and volume of sediment entering the Vermillion River from these sites. Bank locations between 2010 and 2016 were quantified to estimate the volume of sediment eroded per year. Overall, based on bank erosion calculations and reported annual load estimates (Tetra-Tech, 2004; Dakota County Soil and Water Conservation District, 2017), these sites contribute approximately $3 \%$ of the annual sediment load to the Vermillion River, confirming our observations that this section of the Vermillion River is relatively stable with only minor bank erosion issues. Compared to some of the upstream and headwater tributaries in the watershed, the Lower Mainstem Vermillion River has abundant aquatic habitat features such as log jams, riffles and deep pools, overhanging banks, and canopy cover through most reaches. The primary issues of concern were areas of bank erosion, extensive mowing of the floodplain to the channel edge, and banks with less than 50 feet of perennial riparian buffer between agricultural fields and the river.

## 2. Introduction

In the summer of 2018, Inter-Fluve geomorphologists conducted a fluvial geomorphic assessment of the Lower Vermillion River. This reach begins just west of Vermillion, MN near Hwy 52 and ends at Vermillion Falls in Hastings, MN (Figure 1). The goals of this rapid assessment were to:

1. Examine channel condition and stability,
2. Assess riparian and aquatic habitats,
3. Note infrastructure near, in, or crossing the Vermillion River and issues relating to the interactions between the river and infrastructure,
4. Note past project conditions,
5. Identify potential projects to improve water quality, and aquatic and riparian ecosystems within the Vermillion River, and
6. Quantify pollutant reductions in select potential projects.

The report that follows is a summary of the data collected and the potential restoration and management projects identified along the Lower Vermillion River. Inter-Fluve completed similar geomorphic assessments along the Empire Drainages subwatershed of the mainstem Vermillion River (Inter-Fluve, 2013), South Creek (Inter-Fluve, 2010), North and Middle Creeks (Inter-Fluve, 2012), and the Etter Creek/Ravenna Coulee subwatersheds (Inter-Fluve, 2011) for the VRWJPO. Inter-Fluve project staff, while then working for the MNDNR, completed the original fluvial geomorphic assessment of the entire Vermillion system in 1997. This document follows a similar format to allow the VRWJPO to efficiently read through the results and analyses of this assessment. As in the prior reports, individual reach descriptions, channel reconnaissance forms, potential project forms, detailed scoring sheets for the potential projects, and potential project maps are in appendices:

- Appendix A: Historic maps and aerial photograph
- Appendix B: Channel Reconnaissance Forms
- Appendix C: Bank Erosion Analysis
- Appendix D: Potential Project Forms
- Appendix E: Potential Project Summary
- Appendix F: Reach Maps Showing Location of Potential Projects

Inter-Fluve conducted the fluvial geomorphic assessment in July 2018. During the assessment, we identified 34 potential restoration projects. In order to prioritize these projects for funding allocation, we developed a ranking system for the restoration projects. This ranking system scores potential restoration sites based on 13 metrics developed in coordination with the VRWJPO staff (Table 1). Each metric contributes a value of 1 through 7 for the site, and the total of all the metrics is the potential project score. Each project can be ranked by a single metric or multiple metrics, so priority can be a result of any combination of metrics chosen by the VRWJPO staff.


Figure 1: The Vermillion River Watershed located in Scott and Dakota Counties, MN. Vermillion River WMO from Dakota County GIS Database. Lower Vermillion River Watershed and Vermillion River Watershed based on National Hydrographic Dataset, 2018.

Table 1: Metrics for scoring potential projects

| Metric Score: | 1 | 3 | 5 | 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pollutant loading | No reduction in sediment/nutrient loading | Reduction in rate of bank erosion | Reduction in rate of bank erosion, establish buffer to reduce runoff | Reduction in bank erosion, establish buffer to reduce runoff, bank erosion poses infrastructure risk |
| In-stream Ecological Benefit | No in-stream ecological benefit | Low benefit - Spot location, small size | Moderate benefit - subreach based, moderate sized project | High benefit - Reach based, $>1000 \mathrm{ft}$ of stream |
| Project cost | > \$300K | \$201-\$300K | \$51-200K | \$0-\$50K |
| Fish Passage | No impact on fish passage | Low impact (eg. improve depth through culvert, minimal velocity reduction) | Moderate impact (removes perch or other small barrier, natural bottom culvert replacement) | High impact (dam removal) |
| Riparian Ecological Benefit | No riparian ecological benefit | Low benefit - Spot location, small size | Moderate benefit - subreach based, moderate sized project | High benefit - Reach based, large riparian areas, floodplain scale |
| Infrastructure risk | No risk to infrastructure with no action, no infrastructure present, or no risk to public safety | Low to moderate infrastructure risk and minimal risk to public safety with no action, or inf. value $<\$ 50,000$ | Infrastructure at moderate but not immediate risk, moderate public safety risk, no potential injury, or infrastructure value $<\$ 500,000$ | Infrastructure at high, immanent risk of failure with no action, or potential loss of life. Public safety at risk or infrastructure value $>\$ 500,000$ |
| Project complexity | Groundwater and surface water issues, professional specialty design services required, heavy oversight, major earthwork, EAW/EIS permitting | Surface water restoration, engineering plans required, earthwork involved, significant permitting | Moderately complex, no specialty engineering required, minor earthwork, some basic permitting | Elementary solution, shelf design, volunteer and hand labor implementation, no permits |
| Aesthetic impact | No impact | Low impact | Moderate positive impact | High positive impact |
| Property Ownership | 1: private property | 3: NA | 5: public property | 7: NA |
| Public Education | No public education value | Low value - Poor site access, difficult to see, small project | Moderate value - Good access, moderate demonstration value | High value - Easy access, cooperating landowner, good demonstration and high visual impact |

## 3. The Vermillion River Watershed

The mainstem of the Vermillion River is 60 miles in length, and meanders through Scott and Dakota Counties before flowing into the Mississippi River near Red Wing, MN. A small distributary also flows into the Mississippi River near Hastings. In total, the Vermillion River watershed area is 364 square miles, of which 273 square miles drains to and through the Lower Vermillion River (Figure 1). The VRWJPO administers 335 square miles. Primary land use within the Lower Vermillion River Watershed (Figure 2) is cropland (104,539 acres, 61.8\%), urban ( 44,305 acres, $26.2 \%$ ), forest ( 12,601 acres, $7.4 \%$ ) and grassland ( 7,731 acres, $4.6 \%$ ). Instantaneous discharges over the past 20 years just upstream of the study area near Empire, MN range from under 20 cfs to over 2000 cfs, with flows highest in spring and lowest in winter (Figure 3).


Figure 2: Landcover within the Vermillion River Watershed. Data from National Landcover Dataset 2011.


Figure 3: Instantaneous discharge USGS gage data upstream of the Vermillion River. Peaks are generally in the spring of each year while the low flow periods are typically in the winter.

### 3.1 GEOLOGIC HISTORY OF THE VERMILLION RIVER

Bedrock within the Vermillion watershed consists primarily of the Prairie du Chien Formation, St. Peter Sandstone, Jordan Sandstone, and Platteville Limestone (Balaban and Hobbs, 1990). Bedrock is best exposed at Vermillion Falls in Hastings, MN where the River flows over the Platteville Limestone. Bedrock throughout the studied reach forms a buried bedrock valley, with bedrock buried by up to 500 feet of glacial deposits on top of the pre-glacial drainage system (Figure 4). The deepest portions of the buried bedrock (shown in yellow on Figure 4) likely represents the pre-glacial (over 2.5 million years ago) drainage of the Mississippi River. Buried bedrock valleys commonly host productive groundwater resources (Bajc et al., 2018) and special care should be given to preserving these potential aquifers from surface water pollution.


Figure 4: Depth to bedrock within the Vermillion River watershed. The deepest bedrock is shown in yellow and the shallowest bedrock is shown in dark teal. Data from Minnesota Geological Survey, 2016.

The earliest surficial geology consists of pre-Wisconsin moraines which form the southern edge of the watershed (Figure 5). Wisconsin-aged moraines form the western and northern edges of the watershed and were deposited by the Superior and Des Moines Glacial lobes (Balaban and Hobbs, 1990). The central and eastern portion of the watershed is occupied by a relatively flat glacial outwash plain (Figure 5).


Figure 5: Surficial Geology within and surrounding the Vermillion River Watershed. Data from Balaban and Hobbs, 1990.

### 3.2 THE LOWER VERMILLION RIVER STUDY AREA

This geomorphic assessment examined the Lower Mainstem Vermillion River and two minor tributaries (Figure 6) which flow approximately 13.5 miles from Hwy 52 to Vermillion Falls. The Lower Mainstem Vermillion River typically meanders within a much larger alluvial valley.

Similar to the Minnesota and Mississippi River Valleys, this oversized alluvial valley may have been formed by a glacial hydrologic regime which set and confined the course of the modern Vermillion River. Before the arrival of Europeans, the area surrounding the Lower Vermillion River was covered by prairies and likely grazed by herds of bison (Wendt and Coffin, 1988). A corridor of trees likely formed a floodplain forest in low areas where tree roots could reach the groundwater and stabilize the banks of the Vermillion River. After the arrival of Europeans, the land was cleared, plowed, and converted to agriculture. The modern Lower Vermillion River is almost entirely surrounded by agricultural cropland with some urban development near

Vermillion and Hastings, MN (Figure 2). In steeper headwater subwatersheds, land clearing likely resulted in loss of soil from the agricultural fields and deposition of this soil in the receiving water bodies, including the Vermillion River. Many of the tributary channels were straightened and adjacent wetlands ditched to maintain drier farming conditions and convey water downstream efficiently to reduce flooding. Through decreased infiltration in the farm fields and increased discharges due to ditching and straightening, the discharge in the receiving waters, such as the Vermillion River, likely increased in the decades following initiation of agriculture. These hydrologic changes typically result in adjustments to the channel slope and channel dimensions. The more recent increase in suburban and urban development throughout the Vermillion River watershed adds to the percent of impervious cover and increases in storm runoff, the effects of which can be observed along the Lower Mainstem Vermillion River.


Figure 6: The study area of this geomorphic assessment including the Lower Vermillion River and select tributaries assessment

## 4. Data Collection Methods

To understand and compare the historic and modern Lower Vermillion River, Inter-Fluve geomorphologists collected and analyzed existing plat maps, aerial photographs, and land cover datasets. The first General Land Office (GLO) surveys in Dakota County were plat maps surveyed between 1852 and 1854. Later aerial photos (1937, 1964, 1991, 2003, 2010, 2016) show the landscape in more detail and also show the channel and land use changes that have occurred over the past 79 years. The changes observed in these maps and aerial photos are helpful in understanding land use change impacts to the river system, how the river has responded to these impacts, and how the river may continue to evolve. This gives context in assessing how certain restoration treatments may perform as the river continues to evolve.

### 4.1 CHANNEL AND LAND USE CHANGES

Direct comparison of plat maps and aerial photographs were made for the years 1852-1854, 1937, 1964, 1991, 2003, 2010, and 2016. Plat maps and the 1937 and 1964 aerial photographs were georeferenced by Inter-Fluve staff. The 1991, 2003, 2010, and 2016 aerial photographs were downloaded from the MnGeo WMS Service, georeferenced by others. All historic maps and aerial photo maps are shown in Appendix A.

The 1852-1854 Government Land Office (GLO) maps show a meandering river surrounded prairies. In later aerial photos, an increase in human influence is apparent. Field notes from the 1852-1854 maps describe mostly prairie vegetation, and list bur oak, black oak, and aspen as common tree types. The 1937 aerial photos show the Lower Vermillion River almost completely surrounded by cultivated crops with very little riparian tree cover. Comparison between the 1937 air photos and the 2016 air photos show numerous avulsions and meander cutoffs (Appendix A). Avulsions and cutoffs are natural processes (though sedimentation and increased flood flows due to land use changes may have impacted the timing or rate of these processes) and have created a variety of off-channel habitats such as oxbow lakes, side channels, and floodplain forest wetlands. The majority of observed avulsions and meander cutoffs occurred in the upstream portion of the Lower Vermillion River (upstream of river station
35000). The channel in the downstream portion of the Lower Vermillion River, where the river is confined by the alluvial valley, has remained relatively stable since 1937.Examining the additional air photos between 1937 and 2016 gives more detailed information on the timing of changes in land use, riparian vegetation, and river meander changes (Appendix A). The 1964 aerial photo shows urbanization near Hastings and Vermillion (River station 0 to 15000, and 45000 to 51000). Upstream of river station 35000, active river meandering is apparent in comparison of aerial photos. The 1991 aerial photo shows continued urban expansion. The Lower Vermillion River appears near its modern alignment, though localized bank erosion is apparent. A general increase in riparian tree cover occurs between 1964 and 1991, which may be related to the increased river meander stability. Between 1991 and 2016, a continued increase in riparian tree cover was identified, though this increase is coupled with an increase in farm field size and urbanization.

### 4.2 FLUVIAL GEOMORPHOLOGY

Inter-Fluve geomorphologists assessed the entire Lower Mainstem of the Vermillion River from canoe and on foot where appropriate. This reach of the Vermillion River is approximately 13.5 miles in length. Field forms were completed, digital photographs were collected at representative locations, geomorphic features were identified, land use influences on the river were noted, and sites were identified as potential projects.

Inter-Fluve geomorphologists developed a reconnaissance form which includes information on general channel and fluvial geomorphic conditions, sediment composition, depositional features, riparian vegetation and floodplain morphology, channel stability, channel geometry, and human impacts on the channel and floodplain. Appendix B provides a description of each reach based on these forms with maps displaying the reach locations in Appendix F. In general, the Lower Vermillion River is a low gradient, meandering stream with sand and gravel bed material and cohesive banks composed of silt and sand.

### 4.3 REACH SUMMARIES

Reach 1 is from river station 00000 to 16500 , at the downstream end of the project reach in the city of Hastings, MN. The majority of the reach is in an urban setting with some agricultural fields. Some banks are almost fully naturalized but with manicured lawns mowed to the river edge on the opposite bank. The channel appears vertically and laterally stable with localized erosion occurring where the riparian is narrow to absent. The reach contains widely spaces ( $\sim 500 \mathrm{ft}$ ) riffles with gravels, cobbles and some boulders. Which is notably coarser than upstream reaches. There is large wood within the stream channel, but few channel spanning log jams. Largest source of pollutants is likely from lawn fertilizer and pesticide use.

Reach 2 is from station 16500 to 21000. Riparian corridor is narrow to non-existent with few riparian trees and no large wood in the channel. Land-use is agricultural fields and pastures. The channel is straight with a few small meanders at the north end of the reach (station 16500-17800). The channel appears straight in 1930s air photos and may represent the natural channel planform, or channel straightening prior to 1937. A few relict meanders are apparent in pastured land on river right (near station 18500 and 17100). Channel substrate consists of sand with sparse gravel. Channel type is predominately riffle-run. The largest source of pollution to river likely comes from adjacent farm fields.

Reach 3 is from station 21000 to 35000 . Riparian corridor width varies between narrow ( $<20$ ft ) and wide ( $>100 \mathrm{ft}$ ) with vegetations types ranging from prairie to forest. Adjacent to natural areas, land use is agricultural. In forested area large wood is abundant, but in all other areas large wood is nearly absent. Channel type is between riffle-run and riffle-pool, with at least one meander cut-off evident (station 33200). Channel substrate predominately consists of coarse sand with sparse gravels and cobbles. The largest source of pollution to river likely comes from adjacent farm fields.

Reach 4 is from station 35000 to 45500 . The reach contains a relatively wide riparian buffer with floodplain forest and prairie vegetation. Large wood is present where there is surrounding floodplain forest. Some agricultural fields abut a narrow riparian zone (<20 ft). Cutoff meanders are present and abundant in backchannel areas. Channel pattern is meandering with run-pool channel type. Channel substrate is predominately coarse sand. Largest source of pollution to river is likely agricultural fields.

Reach 5 is from river station 45500 to 51000 . The reach has a wide riparian corridor and is adjacent to the town of Vermillion on river right and farm fields on river left. Riparian vegetation is floodplain forest. Relict meander bends are abundant within the floodplain and were either dry or filled with water at the time of the survey. Channel pattern is meandering with a pool-run morphology. Channel substrate is coarse sand. Large wood and $\log$ jams are abundant in the channel. The largest source of pollution to river is likely from adjacent farm fields, though surrounding floodplain forest and riparian buffer likely mitigates pollutant inputs.

Reach 6 is from river station 51000 to 67500 . The reach contains a relatively wide riparian buffer with floodplain forest and prairie vegetation. Some agricultural fields abut a narrow riparian zone ( $<20 \mathrm{ft}$ ). Cutoff meanders are present and abundant in backchannel areas. Channel pattern is meandering with run-pool channel type. Channel substrate is predominately coarse sand. The largest source of pollution to river is likely agricultural fields.

### 4.4 POLLUTION SOURCES

Historic changes in watershed land use have increased pollutant loading compared to assumed natural conditions. The estimated pollutant load in the Vermillion River at Hastings, MN is modeled to be $83,706 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{yr}$ of total phosphorus, $146,728 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{yr}$ of total Kjeldahl nitrogen, and $4,659,233 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{yr}$ total suspended sediment (Tetra-tech, 2004). Annual monitoring reports on state standard water quality show the Vermillion River to be within acceptable limits for Phosphorus and Total Suspended Solids during baseflow conditions. Nitrate shows greater concentrations
under baseflow conditions as a result of shallow groundwater aquifers flowing directly to surface water. Phosphorus and total suspended solids spike under runoff events, but nitrates are diluted (Dakota County Soil and Water Conservation District, 2017). Erosion throughout the study site was identified and described, but seven specific bank erosion sites were investigated in more detail to quantify the contributions to the total annual sediment load of the Lower Vermillion River. These seven potential bank erosion projects displayed noticeable differences in bank location between the 2010 and 2016 aerial images. Volumes of sediment were calculated using the 2018 bank height observed in the field as the vertical dimension, and the aerial extent of erosion digitized from the 2010 and 2016 aerial photos (Table 2). The total estimated volume of eroded bank between all quantified sites was $7780 \mathrm{ft}^{3} / \mathrm{yr}\left(140,040 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{yr}\right.$ assuming $\left.18 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ft}^{3}\right)$, contributing roughly $3.0 \%$ of the total suspended sediment in the Vermillion River at Hastings. Maps associated with the bank erosion analysis are shown in Appendix C. We therefore conclude the majority of sediment pollutant loading to the Lower Vermillion River is from point and nonpoint upstream sources and nonpoint sources within the subwatershed primarily contributed during runoff events, and not from in-stream sources. Based on land use characteristics directly draining to the Vermillion River, the primary nonpoint pollution source is agricultural fields, which enter the river primarily through tile drains and surface runoff, though point and nonpoint sources originate in upstream subwatersheds. Potential projects are prioritized to mitigate the effect of nonpoint pollution sources.

Table 2: Results from bank erosion analysis.

| Potential Project \# | Area ( $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ ) | Height (ft) | Volume (ft ${ }^{3}$ ) | Years | Pollutant Loading (ft $3 /$ year $)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | 1505 | 7 | 10536 | 6 | 1756 |
| 17 | 1100 | 8 | 8802 | 6 | 1467 |
| 4 | 1266 | 6 | 7595 | 6 | 1266 |
| 23 | 1710 | 4 | 6840 | 6 | 1140 |
| 32 | 1111 | 5 | 5554 | 6 | 926 |
| 1 | 741 | 6 | 4445 | 6 | 741 |
| 2 | 581 | 5 | 2906 | 6 | 484 |

### 4.5 PROJECT IDENTIFICATION

Inter-Fluve staff identified potential projects during the field study and evaluated and ranked the projects based on 10 metrics (Table 1). In this system, the scoring refers mainly to the degree a completed project will affect each metric with a higher score indicating a larger impact on process and habitat, higher feasibility, and positive public impact. For example, an infrastructure risk score of 1 reflects that if nothing is done, no additional risk is expected to infrastructure from channel instability. The lack of risk could be because no infrastructure exists at the site or the risk is extremely low. Conversely, a score of 7 indicates that if nothing is done, public safety and property are under imminent risk. Other metrics gauge the effect of potential projects on channel stability, ecological benefit, and nutrient loading.

In this cost versus benefit evaluation tool, potentially complicated and expensive projects with fewer benefits score lower, while cheaper projects that are more easily implemented and offer more benefits score higher. Inter-Fluve recommends that the VRWJPO use this ranking as a guide to determine the projects that accomplish its goals and objectives and stay within the available budget. Appendix D includes all of the potential project forms that describe each
project, recommend management and restoration solutions, provide the metric scores, and include pictures of the problem area.

## 5. Recommended Restoration Approaches

The Vermillion River watershed has been altered since agriculture and settlement began in the mid-1800s. Prairies and forestland were cleared, wetlands were ditched and drained to promote agriculture, river banks were stabilized to prevent erosion, and portions of farmland were later converted to residential development. Potential projects seek to address and mitigate past land use changes to improve the geomorphic and ecologic function of the Lower Vermillion River.

### 5.1 PROBLEM SUMMARY

Along the Lower Mainstem Vermillion River, we did not observe large, reach-scale erosion problems. Overall, the channel maintains greater physical habitat complexity than many of the headwater reaches and straightened tributaries, including a higher frequency of riffles and pools, higher frequency of large woody habitat, and more substrate variability (see past geomorphic assessments for details on headwater reaches). However, the response of channel and riparian environments to land-use changes have impacted the aquatic habitat in a number of ways. Warm-water surface runoff more rapidly enters the stream carrying fertilizers and pesticides widely applied to lawns and crops as well as sediment from farms and streets. Wetlands have either been eliminated or are no longer fully functional, resulting in the loss of water retention, filtration capacity, and wetland habitat. Riparian vegetation is generally not well established, consists of young trees, or consists of the invasive reed canarygrass or buckthorn. Historic and current land use practices have resulted in few mature riparian trees in many of the study locations. Fewer mature trees results in poor canopy cover, reduced shading, and reduced woody recruitment in the channel and the subsequent loss of aquatic habitat. Past impacts have created a need for riparian habitat and function and increased wetland areas.

Other factors within the studied reach are positive and will support successful geomorphic and habitat restoration. Unlike many of the headwater tributaries that have been straightened and cleared of all wood in the channel, the Lower Mainstem Vermillion River maintains its sinuosity
in most locations and has multiple reaches containing substantial large wood volumes and habitat complexity. Viable nearby habitat suggests that restoration actions will connect effectively with existing habitat to improve the overall opportunities for aquatic and terrestrial organisms along the Vermillion River.

### 5.2 POTENTIAL PROJECT SUMMARIES

Details on individual potential projects are listed in Appendix D. This section summarizes general observations and suggests general approaches to improve the Lower Vermillion River. Nearly all potential projects involve some form of bank erosion and the potential for bank stabilization; however, with the exception of PP04, the erosion appears minor and a result of natural river meander processes. The seven bank erosion projects examined using historic air photo analysis only contribute roughly $3.0 \%$ to the total Vermillion River sediment load. In order to minimize non-point pollution sources, landowners need to be educated on natural river processes and provide the river with enough space to naturally function. The planting and maintenance of a riparian buffer along the length of the Vermillion River promote wood recruitment, increase canopy cover, and limit bank erosion. Willow trees have been shown to effectively and naturally hold a bank in place up to four feet depth after five years of growth.

Wetland and riparian areas are effective at protecting water quality and reducing nonpoint source pollution entering the stream. When coupled with best management practices at the watershed scale, natural wetland and riparian areas can greatly reduce the effects of nonpoint source pollutants on surface waters while providing stream shading, flood attenuation, shoreline stabilization, ground water exchange, and habitat for aquatic, terrestrial, migratory, and rare species (USEPA, 2005). Wetlands and riparian prairie and forest have been shown to effectively remove, process, transform or store sediment, nitrogen, and phosphorus pollutants (Washington State Department of Ecology, 1996). Restoration of wetlands and the riparian corridor throughout the study area could reduce the inputs of pollutants into the Lower Vermillion River and help improve the water and aquatic habitat within the river. While major tributaries and headwater streams and wetlands were not part of this assessment, past
evaluations have made similar recommendations in headwater subwatersheds. Actions taken in the headwater wetlands and tributaries and in the wetlands adjacent to the Vermillion River are all important in improving the water quality, stability, and habitat of the mainstem Vermillion River.

Past projects within the Lower Mainstem Vermillion River include in-channel work such as placement of rock vanes, large wood bank stabilization treatments, and prairie ecosystem restoration. Potential projects were identified where past projects were deemed ineffective and active erosion immediately upstream and downstream of the past project was observed. It is unknown when these restoration efforts were completed, what the goals were, or if monitoring has occurred. Riparian ecosystem restoration was also observed in the form of prairie vegetation. The addition of trees to these riparian prairie ecosystems would provide increased in-stream and riparian habitat complexity, improve bank stability, and provide large wood recruitment to the channel.
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## 7. Appendix A

## Historic Maps and Aerial Photographs
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## 8. Appendix B

Channel Reconnaissance Forms

## Channel Reconnaissance Form

inter.fluve

|  | 2018 |
| :--- | :--- |
|  |  |
| Date |  |
| Stream/Drainage | Lower Vermillion River |
| Stream Reach ID | Reach 1 |
|  | NN, SM |
|  |  |


| Station | 16500 | To 00000 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

## General Channel Conditions

| Sediment Particle Size Estimate |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Banks | sandy silt loam |
| Bars | sand silt |
| Bed | sand and gravel |

Channel Shape (check)
Rectangular
Shallow Rectangular
Irregular
X Trapezoidal
Parabolic
Other $\qquad$

Bar Types:

> Alternate lateral
> Mid-channel

Point / transverse
None
Point / mid
X Point / alternate

Fluvial Geomorphic Conditions

| Vertical Stability degradation/aggradation | Vertically stable |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lateral stability deposition, erosion | Appears laterally stable |  |  |  |  |
| Erosion (excessive/site specific) | Localized erosion where mowing to edge |  |  |  |  |
| Dominant bank erosion types (circle any that apply) | Fluvial | X Undercut/ cantilever | Selective erosion of noncohesive laters | Dry flow | Seepage |
|  | Gravitational | Rotational | Planar | Wedge |  |
| Bank composition | Notes (shape/character): Banks exposed |  |  |  |  |
| Terrace/Valley | Valley form - Terraced Alluvial |  |  | Land Use - Narrow riparian corridor surrounded by farming |  |
| Altered state (human) dams, bridges, canoe landings, parks, etc. | Largely urban drainage with impervious surfaces, mowed areas and agriculture near river and riparian buffer. |  |  |  |  |
| Bankfull/Channel forming flow indication | None |  |  |  |  |


| Sediment Impacts | Pool sediment type | Sand and silt |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Riffle sediment type | Sand, gravel and <br> cobble. Sparse <br> boulders | NA |
| Sorting / Imbrication | NA |  |
| Bars / depositional features |  |  |
| Sediment type/size | sand and silt |  |
| Mid, alternate, braided | Alternate |  |
| Bar Vegetation (type, age) | Grasses |  |
| Floodplain soils | Sandy silt loam |  |
| Overbank deposition | NA |  |

Riparian Vegetation and Floodplain

| Root coverage of banks (\%) | Canopy structure: (check one) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Varies | ```none = anthro / maintained (lawn, field, pasture)``` |  |
| Width of veg. riparian corridor | Varies | low = single canopy layer | X |
| Canopy coverage (\%) | 40\% | medium = at least two canopy layers |  |
|  |  | high = multiple canopy layers |  |

Primary veg forms present: (\%) grasses/forbs
woody species
bare/other
Exotic/invasive species

| $50 \%$ |
| :---: |
| $50 \%$ |
|  |


| Woody Species present | \% of total tree <br> community |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Maple | 10 |  |
| Cottonwood | 15 |  |
|  |  |  |
| Buckthorn, reed canary grass |  |  |

Tree Stand Age (if applicable)

| Station | Species | Age | Notes / Location within XS |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NA |  |  |  |
| NA |  |  |  |

## Riparian Landuse

General Riparian Notes: Varying degree of use in riparian zone ranging from wide buffer, to agriculture, to mowed lawn, to naturalized prairie, to park space.

Channel Stability Form

| Reach stability |  | $\mathbf{1 - 2}$ <br> Degrading |  | $\mathbf{3}$ <br> Stable |  | 4-5 <br> Aggrading |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Estimated sediment <br> mobility (D50 moves <br> at:) | <2yr Q |  | $2-10$ yr |  | $>10$ yr | X |



## Channel Reconnaissance Form

|  | 2018 |
| :--- | :--- |
|  |  |
| Date |  |
| Stream/Drainage | Lower Vermillion River |
| Stream Reach ID | Reach 2 |
|  | NN, SM |
|  |  |


| Station | 21000 | To 16500 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

## General Channel Conditions

| Sediment Particle Size Estimate |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Banks | sandy silt loam |
| Bars | sand silt |
| Bed | sand and sparse gravel |

Channel Shape (check)
X Rectangular
Shallow Rectangular
Irregular
Trapezoidal
Parabolic
Other $\qquad$

Bar Types:

> X Alternate lateral
> Mid-channel

Point / transverse
None
Point / mid
Point / alternate

Fluvial Geomorphic Conditions


| Sediment Impacts |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Riffle sediment type | Sand and Gravel | Pool sediment type | Sand and silt |
| Sorting / Imbrication | NA |  |  |
| Bars / depositional features |  |  |  |
| Sediment type/size | sand and silt |  |  |
| Mid, alternate, braided | Alternate |  |  |
| Bar Vegetation (type, age) | Grasses |  |  |
| Floodplain soils | Sandy silt loam |  |  |
| Overbank deposition | NA |  |  |

## Riparian Vegetation and Floodplain

| Root coverage of banks (\%) | Canopy structure : (check one) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Varies | ```none = anthro / maintained (lawn, field, pasture)``` |  |
| Width of veg. riparian corridor | Narrow | low = single canopy layer | X |
| Canopy coverage (\%) | 5\% | medium = at least two canopy layers |  |
|  |  | high = multiple canopy layers |  |

Primary veg forms present: (\%) grasses/forbs
woody species
bare/other
Exotic/invasive species

| $95 \%$ |
| :---: |
| $5 \%$ |
|  |


| Woody Species present | \% of total tree <br> community |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Silver Maple | 20 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

Tree Stand Age (if applicable)

|  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station | Species | Age | Notes / Location within XS |
| NA |  |  |  |
| NA |  |  |  |

## Riparian Landuse

General Riparian Notes: Narrow to nonexistent riparian corridor. Channel is rectangular and appears straight and incised.

Channel Stability Form

| Reach stability |  | 1-2 <br> Degrading |  | $3$ <br> Stable |  | 4-5 <br> Aggrading |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Estimated sediment mobility (D50 moves at:) | <2yr Q |  | $2-10 \mathrm{yr}$ | X | $>10 \mathrm{yr}$ |  |
| Substrate consolidation | Strong, gravels/cobble |  | Strong, gravels |  | Weak, sand/silt | X |
| Bank failure mechanism | High banks, grav. collapse, variable channel width |  | Localized surficial erosion, constant width | X | Low banks, overflows, surficial erosion |  |
| Bar development | Poorly formed | X | Narrow, vegetated |  | Wide (>1/2 channel length), unveg. |  |
| Bank erosion extent | Extensive |  | Local erosion/pools | X | Extensive bar pressure |  |
| Relative Width:Depth ratio | Low |  | Average | X | High |  |
| Channel pattern | Single thread | X | Single thread |  | Multiple thread/braided |  |
| Average bank slope | <3:1 |  | >3:1 |  |  |  |
| Vegetative bank protection | Poor |  | Extensive | X | Poor |  |
| Field stability rating (add all cells)/9 |  | $=2.6$ |  |  |  |  |



## Channel Reconnaissance Form

|  | 2018 |
| :--- | :--- |
|  |  |
| Date |  |
| Stream/Drainage | Lower Vermillion River |
| Stream Reach ID | Reach 3 |
|  | NN, SM |
|  |  |

Station | 35000 | To 21000 |
| :--- | :--- |

## General Channel Conditions

| Sediment Particle Size Estimate |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Banks | sandy silt loam |
| Bars | sand silt |
| Bed | sand and gravel and cobbles |

Channel Shape (check)
X Rectangular
Shallow Rectangular
Irregular
X Trapezoidal
Parabolic
Other $\qquad$

Bar Types:

> X Alternate lateral
> X Mid-channel

Point / transverse
None
Point / mid
X Point / alternate

Fluvial Geomorphic Conditions

| Vertical Stability degradation/aggradation | Vertically stable |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lateral stability deposition, erosion | Appears laterally stable |  |  |  |  |
| Erosion (excessive/site specific) | None |  |  |  |  |
| Dominant bank erosion types (circle any that apply) | Fluvial | X Undercut / cantilever | Selective erosion of noncohesive laters | Dry flow | Seepage |
|  | Gravitational | Rotational | Planar | Wedge |  |
| Bank composition | Notes (shape/character): Banks exposed, but held in place by roots |  |  |  |  |
| Terrace/Valley | Valley form - Terraced Alluvial |  |  | Land Use - Narrow riparian corridor surrounded by farming |  |
| Altered state (human) dams, bridges, canoe landings, parks, etc. | Farming near to riparian buffer |  |  |  |  |
| Bankfull/Channel forming flow indication | Debris in logjams, sand on floodplain |  |  |  |  |


| Sediment Impacts |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Riffle sediment type | Gravel and cobble | Pool sediment type | Sand and silt |
| Sorting / Imbrication | NA |  |  |
| Bars / depositional features |  |  |  |
| Sediment type/size | sand and silt |  |  |
| Mid, alternate, braided | Alternate and mid-channel |  |  |
| Bar Vegetation (type, age) | Grasses |  |  |
| Floodplain soils | Sandy silt loam |  |  |
| Overbank deposition | NA |  |  |

Riparian Vegetation and Floodplain

| Root coverage of banks (\%) | Canopy structure: (check one) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Varies | ```none = anthro / maintained (lawn, field, pasture)``` |  |
| Width of veg. riparian corridor | Varies | low = single canopy layer |  |
| Canopy coverage (\%) | 50\% | medium = at least two canopy layers | X |
|  |  | high = multiple canopy layers |  |

Primary veg forms present: (\%) grasses/forbs
woody species
bare/other
Exotic/invasive species

| $70 \%$ |
| :---: |
| $30 \%$ |
|  |


| Woody Species present | $\%$ of total tree <br> community |
| :--- | :---: |
| silver maple | $20 \%$ |
| Cottonwood | $5 \%$ |
|  |  |

Tree Stand Age (if applicable)

|  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station | Species | Age | Notes / Location within XS |
| NA |  |  |  |
| NA |  |  |  |

## Riparian Landuse

General Riparian Notes: Rural land use including restored prairie, floodplain forest, agricultural fields, and mowed lawns.

Channel Stability Form

| Reach stability |  | $\mathbf{1 - 2}$ <br> Degrading |  | $\mathbf{3}$ <br> Stable |  | 4-5 <br> Aggrading |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Estimated sediment <br> mobility (D50 moves <br> at:) | <2yr Q |  | $2-10$ yr |  | $>10$ yr | X |



## Channel Reconnaissance Form

inter.fluve

|  | 2018 |
| :--- | :--- |
|  |  |
| Date |  |
| Stream/Drainage | Lower Vermillion River |
| Stream Reach ID | Reach 4 |
|  | NN, SM |
|  |  |

Station $45500 \quad$ To $\quad 35000$

General Channel Conditions

| Sediment Particle Size Estimate |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Banks | sandy silt loam |
| Bars | sand silt |
| Bed | sand and gravel |

Channel Shape (check)
Rectangular
Shallow Rectangular
Irregular
X Trapezoidal
Parabolic
Other $\qquad$

Bar Types:

> Alternate lateral

Mid-channel
Point / transverse
None
Point / mid
X Point / alternate

Fluvial Geomorphic Conditions

| Vertical Stability degradation/aggradation | Vertically stable |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lateral stability deposition, erosion | Laterally stable, evidence of avulsions |  |  |  |  |
| Erosion (excessive/site specific) | localized near where farming to edge and scour pools near Logjams |  |  |  |  |
| Dominant bank erosion types (circle any that apply) | Fluvial | X Undercut / cantilever | Selective erosion of noncohesive laters | Dry flow | Seepage |
|  | Gravitational | Rotational | Planar | Wedge |  |
| Bank composition | Notes (shape/character): held in place by roots |  |  |  |  |
| Terrace/Valley | Valley form - Terraced Alluvial |  |  | Land Use - Good Riparian vegetation |  |
| Altered state (human) dams, bridges, canoe landings, parks, etc. | Some development near to riparian buffer |  |  |  |  |
| Bankfull/Channel forming flow indication | Debris in logjams |  |  |  |  |


| Sediment Impacts |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Riffle sediment type | Sand and gravel | Pool sediment type | Sand and silt |
| Sorting / Imbrication | NA |  |  |
| Bars / depositional features |  |  |  |
| Sediment type/size | sand and silt |  |  |
| Mid, alternate, braided | alternate |  |  |
| Bar Vegetation (type, age) | Grasses |  |  |
| Floodplain soils | Sandy silt loam |  |  |
| Overbank deposition | NA |  |  |

Riparian Vegetation and Floodplain

| Root coverage of banks (\%) | Canopy structure: (check one) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Varies | ```none = anthro / maintained (lawn, field, pasture)``` |  |
| Width of veg. riparian corridor | Varies | low = single canopy layer |  |
| Canopy coverage (\%) | 40\% | medium = at least two canopy layers | X |
|  |  | high = multiple canopy layers |  |

Primary veg forms present: (\%) grasses/forbs
woody species
bare/other
Exotic/invasive species

| $70 \%$ |
| :---: |
| $30 \%$ |
|  |


| Woody Species present | $\%$ of total tree <br> community |
| :--- | :---: |
| silver maple | $20 \%$ |
| Shrub willow | $40 \%$ |
|  |  |

Tree Stand Age (if applicable)

|  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station | Species | Age | Notes / Location within XS |
| NA |  |  |  |
| NA |  |  |  |

## Riparian Landuse

General Riparian Notes: Rural landuse including restored prairie, floodplain forest, agricultural fields, and mowed lawns.

Channel Stability Form

| Reach stability |  | 1-2 <br> Degrading |  | 3 <br> Stable |  | $4-5$ <br> Aggrading |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Estimated sediment mobility (D50 moves at:) | <2yr Q | X | 2-10 yr |  | >10 yr |  |
| Substrate consolidation | Strong, gravels/cobble |  | Strong, gravels |  | Weak, sand/silt | X |
| Bank failure mechanism | High banks, grav. collapse, variable channel width |  | Localized surficial erosion, constant width | X | Low banks, overflows, surficial erosion |  |
| Bar development | Poorly formed | X | Narrow, vegetated |  | Wide ( $>1 / 2$ channel length), unveg. |  |
| Bank erosion extent | Extensive |  | Local erosion/pools | X | Extensive bar pressure |  |
| Relative Width:Depth ratio | Low |  | Average | X | High |  |
| Channel pattern | Single thread | X | Single thread |  | Multiple thread/braided |  |
| Average bank slope | <3:1 |  | >3:1 | X |  |  |
| Vegetative bank protection | Poor |  | Extensive | X | Poor |  |
| Field stability rating (add all cells)/9 |  | $=2.4$ |  |  |  |  |


| Representative cross-section sketch |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Bankfull width $=46 \mathrm{ft}$ <br> Bankfull depth $=6 \mathrm{ft}$ |  |
| Station: 35500 |  |
| Station: 40600 |  |

## Channel Reconnaissance Form

|  | 2018 |
| :--- | :--- |
|  |  |
| Date |  |
| Stream/Drainage | Lower Vermillion River |
| Stream Reach ID | Reach 5 |
|  | NN, SM |
|  |  |

Station | 51000 | To | 45500 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

## General Channel Conditions

| Sediment Particle Size Estimate |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Banks | sandy silt loam |
| Bars | sand silt |
| Bed | sand and gravel |

Channel Shape (check)
Rectangular
Shallow Rectangular
Irregular
X Trapezoidal
Parabolic
Other $\qquad$

Bar Types:

> Alternate lateral

Mid-channel
Point / transverse
None
Point / mid
X Point / alternate

Fluvial Geomorphic Conditions

| Vertical Stability degradation/aggradation | Vertically stable |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lateral stability deposition, erosion | Laterally stable, evidence of avulsions |  |  |  |  |
| Erosion (excessive/site specific) | localized near where farming to edge and scour pools near Logjams |  |  |  |  |
| Dominant bank erosion types (circle any that apply) | Fluvial | X Undercut cantilever | Selective erosion of noncohesive laters | Dry flow | Seepage |
|  | Gravitational | Rotational | Planar | Wedge |  |
| Bank composition | Notes (shape/character): held in place by roots |  |  |  |  |
| Terrace/Valley | Valley form - Terraced Alluvial |  |  | Land Use - Good Riparian vegetation |  |
| Altered state (human) dams, bridges, canoe landings, parks, etc. | Some development near to riparian buffer |  |  |  |  |
| Bankfull/Channel forming flow indication | Debris in logjams |  |  |  |  |


| Sediment Impacts |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Riffle sediment type | Sand and gravel | Pool sediment type | Sand and silt |
| Sorting / Imbrication | NA |  |  |
| Bars / depositional features |  |  |  |
| Sediment type/size | sand and silt |  |  |
| Mid, alternate, braided | alternate |  |  |
| Bar Vegetation (type, age) | Grasses |  |  |
| Floodplain soils | Sandy silt loam |  |  |
| Overbank deposition | NA |  |  |

Riparian Vegetation and Floodplain

| Root coverage of banks (\%) | Canopy structure: (check one) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Varies | ```none = anthro / maintained (lawn, field, pasture)``` |  |
| Width of veg. riparian corridor | Wide | low = single canopy layer |  |
| Canopy coverage (\%) | 80\% | medium = at least two canopy layers |  |
|  |  | high = multiple canopy layers | X |

Primary veg forms present: (\%)
grasses/forbs
woody species
bare/other
Exotic/invasive species

|  |
| :---: |
| $100 \%$ |
|  |


| Woody Species present | \% of total tree <br> community |
| :--- | :---: |
| silver maple | $60 \%$ |
| Green ash | $20 \%$ | | buckthorn |
| :--- |

Tree Stand Age (if applicable)

|  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station | Species | Age | Notes / Location within XS |
| NA |  |  |  |
| NA |  |  |  |

## Riparian Landuse

General Riparian Notes: Well developed riparian corridor with back channel and connected wetlands.

Channel Stability Form

| Reach stability |  | $\mathbf{1 - 2}$ <br> Degrading |  | $\mathbf{3}$ <br> Stable |  | 4-5 <br> Aggrading |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Estimated sediment <br> mobility (D50 moves <br> at:) | <2yr Q |  | $2-10$ yr |  | $>10$ yr | X |


| Representative cross-section sketch |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Bankfull width $=51 \mathrm{ft}$ |  |  |
| Bankfull depth $=7 \mathrm{ft}$ |  |  |
| Station: 48500 |  |  |

## Channel Reconnaissance Form

|  | 2018 |
| :--- | :--- |
|  |  |
| Date |  |
| Stream/Drainage | Lower Vermillion River |
| Stream Reach ID | Reach 6 |
|  | NN, SM |
|  |  |

Station | 67500 | To | 51000 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

## General Channel Conditions

| Sediment Particle Size Estimate |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Banks | sandy silt loam |
| Bars | sand silt |
| Bed | sand and gravel |

Channel Shape (check)
Rectangular
Shallow Rectangular
Irregular
X Trapezoidal
Parabolic
Other $\qquad$

Bar Types:

> Alternate lateral

Mid-channel
Point / transverse
None
Point / mid
X Point / alternate

Fluvial Geomorphic Conditions

| Vertical Stability degradation/aggradation | Vertically stable |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lateral stability deposition, erosion | Some minor erosion |  |  |  |  |
| Erosion (excessive/site specific) | localized near where farming to edge and scour pools near Logjams |  |  |  |  |
| Dominant bank erosion types (circle any that apply) | Fluvial | X Undercut / cantilever | Selective erosion of noncohesive laters | Dry flow | Seepage |
|  | Gravitational | Rotational | Planar | Wedge |  |
| Bank composition | Notes (shape/character): Held in place by roots |  |  |  |  |
| Terrace/Valley | Valley form - Terraced Alluvial |  |  | Land Use - generally shows good Riparian vegetation |  |
| Altered state (human) dams, bridges, canoe landings, parks, etc. | old RR crossing, powerline crossing, tile drain directly to river |  |  |  |  |
| Bankfull/Channel forming flow indication | Debris in logjams |  |  |  |  |


| Sediment Impacts |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Riffle sediment type | Sand and gravel | Pool sediment type | Sand and silt |
| Sorting / Imbrication | NA |  |  |
| Bars / depositional features |  |  |  |
| Sediment type/size | sand and silt |  |  |
| Mid, alternate, braided | alternate |  |  |
| Bar Vegetation (type, age) | Grasses |  |  |
| Floodplain soils | Sandy silt loam |  |  |
| Overbank deposition | NA |  |  |

Riparian Vegetation and Floodplain

| Root coverage of banks (\%) | Canopy structure: (check one) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Varies | ```none = anthro / maintained (lawn, field, pasture)``` |  |
| Width of veg. riparian corridor | Varies | low = single canopy layer |  |
| Canopy coverage (\%) | 50\% | medium = at least two canopy layers | X |
|  |  | high = multiple canopy layers |  |

Primary veg forms present: (\%) grasses/forbs
woody species
bare/other
Exotic/invasive species

| $40 \%$ |
| :---: |
| $60 \%$ |
|  |


| Woody Species present | \% of total tree <br> community |
| :--- | :---: |
| silver maple | $70 \%$ |
| black willow | $5 \%$ |

Tree Stand Age (if applicable)

|  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station | Species | Age | Notes / Location within XS |
| NA |  |  |  |
| NA |  |  |  |

## Riparian Landuse

General Riparian Notes: Generally shows well developed riparian community except where farmed to edge

Channel Stability Form

| Reach stability |  | $\mathbf{1 - 2}$ <br> Degrading |  | $\mathbf{3}$ <br> Stable |  | 4-5 <br> Aggrading |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Estimated sediment <br> mobility (D50 moves <br> at:) | <2yr Q |  | $2-10$ yr |  | $>10$ yr | X |



## 9. Appendix C

Bank Erosion Analysis


## Potential Project 1




## Potential Project 2



## Potential Project 4



## Potential Project 9




## Potential Project $177^{\text {Bankogoaion }}$



## 10. Appendix D

## Potential Project Forms

| Stream: Lower Vermillion River | Problem description: <br> 6 ft tall, 100 ft long eroding bank. $\sim 30 \mathrm{ft}$ between bank top and farm field <br> Station: $65100-65200$, right bank <br> Reach: 6 <br> Solution: expand and plant riparian buffer with native trees and shrubs. Use large wood to stabilize bank and <br> provide aquatic habitat.$\quad$ $\mathbf{l}$ |
| :--- | :--- |

Score
Notes

| Pollutant loading | 5 | Bank erosion and proximity to agriculture |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| In-stream ecological benefit | 3 | Small site |
| Project cost | 3 | Requires engineering design for large wood |
| Fish passage | 1 | NA |
| Riparian ecological benefit | 3 | Small site |
| Infrastructure risk | 1 | NA |
| Project complexity | 3 | Requires engineering design for large wood |
| Aesthetic impact | 1 | No impact |
| Property ownership | 1 | Private |
| Public education | 1 | NA |

Project Area Photo/Map Location


| Stream: Lower Vermillion River | Problem description: <br> Bank erosion upstream of rootwad restoration |
| :--- | :--- |
| Station: $61500-61700$, left bank <br> Reach: 6 |  |
| Solution: extend large wood stabilization upstream. Plant native trees and shrubs along bank for added bank <br> stabilization. |  |

Score
Notes

| Pollutant loading | 5 | Bank erosion and proximity to agriculture |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| In-stream ecological benefit | 3 | Small site |
| Project cost | 3 | Requires engineering design for large wood |
| Fish passage | 1 | NA |
| Riparian ecological benefit | 1 | Small site |
| Infrastructure risk | 1 | NA |
| Project complexity | 3 | Requires engineering design for large wood |
| Aesthetic impact | 1 | No impact |
| Property ownership | 5 | Past project suggests public ownership |
| Public education | 1 | NA |
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| Stream: Lower Vermillion River | Problem description: <br> Narrow riparian corridor between farm and channel. Past bank work used <br> angular boulders that may not be serving the original intended purpose as <br> well as plastic fabric that is still exposed. In addition, minor bank erosion <br> was observed along 120 ft of bank with 4 ft bank heights. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Station: $59500-60000$, right bank <br> Reach: 6 |  |
| Solution: Widen riparian corridor. Remove plastic where exposed. Large wood could be used to stabilize the <br> bank and replace the angular boulders, but the erosion is not extensive. |  |


| Score |  | Notes |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| Pollutant loading | 3 | Reduce bank erosion |
| In-stream ecological benefit | 3 | Small site |
| Project cost | 7 | No bank work, just plantings |
| Fish passage | 1 | NA |
| Riparian ecological benefit | 3 | Small site |
| Infrastructure risk | 1 | NA |
| Project complexity | 7 | No bank work, just plantings |
| Aesthetic impact | 3 | Remove past project materials from river |
| Property ownership | 1 | Private |
| Public education | 1 | NA |
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| Stream: Lower Vermillion River | Problem description: <br> 10in plastic crain pipe emptying into river at top of bank causing localized <br> erosion. This may also be contributing to the eroding bank downstream <br> that extends for about 200ft long with 6 ft high banks. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Station: 59100-59300, left bank <br> Reach: 6 | Solution: Expand riparian zone. Create floodplain wetland which drain can empty into to limit sediment and <br> nutrient loads to the river. If this is not possible, consider lowering the pipe outlet to be below the elevation of <br> the low flow water surface to reduce the localized erosion. Stabilize the downstream bank with large wood. This <br> is under utility lines, so vegetation planting would likely need to be limited to shrubs and smaller trees. |

Score Notes

| Pollutant loading | 7 | Pipe drains agricultural field and bank erosion |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| In-stream ecological benefit | 5 | Increase canopy cover |
| Project cost | 3 | Requires engineering design |
| Fish passage | 1 | NA |
| Riparian ecological benefit | 5 | Widen riparian zone |
| Infrastructure risk | 1 | NA; power poles are not at edge of the river |
| Project complexity | 3 | Requires engineering design |
| Aesthetic impact | 3 | Improved aesthetic for paddlers |
| Property ownership | 1 | Private, though maybe an easement for the utility |
| Public education | 1 | NA |
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| Stream: Lower Vermillion River | Problem description: <br> Angular riprap and plastic fabric in bank, narrow riparian corridor |
| :--- | :--- |
| Station: 58100-58400, right bank <br> Reach: 6 |  |
| Solution: Expand riparian corridor, remove plastic where exposed |  |

Score
Notes

| Pollutant loading | 3 | Small site; minimal existing loading |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| In-stream ecological benefit | 3 | Small site |
| Project cost | 7 | Plantings |
| Fish passage | 1 | NA |
| Riparian ecological benefit | 3 | Small site |
| Infrastructure risk | 1 | NA |
| Project complexity | 7 | Plantings |
| Aesthetic impact | 3 | Remove past project materials from river |
| Property ownership | 1 | Private |
| Public education | 1 | NA |
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| Stream: Lower Vermillion River | Problem description: <br> Narrow riparian zone and minor erosion on bank |
| :--- | :--- |
| Station: 56700-56800, right bank <br> Reach: 6 |  |
| Solution: Expand and plant riparian corridor |  |

Score
Notes

| Pollutant loading | 3 | Small site |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| In-stream ecological benefit | 3 | Small site |
| Project cost | 7 | Plantings |
| Fish passage | 1 | NA |
| Riparian ecological benefit | 3 | Small site |
| Infrastructure risk | 1 | NA |
| Project complexity | 7 | Plantings |
| Aesthetic impact | 1 | No impact |
| Property ownership | 1 | Private |
| Public education | 1 | NA |
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| Stream: Lower Vermillion River | Problem description: <br> Stream crossing made from railroad flat bed. Bottom of bridge is close to <br> the water surface at low flow, so this likely restricts higher flows. Utilities <br> run along the bridge. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Station: 56600, channel spanning <br> Reach: 6 | Solution: Remove bridge if not needed and replace utilities. |

Score

| Pollutant loading | 1 | NA |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| In-stream ecological benefit | 3 | Small site |
| Project cost | 3 | Assumes utility relocate |
| Fish passage | 1 | NA |
| Riparian ecological benefit | 1 | NA |
| Infrastructure risk | 5 | Existing infrastructure need to be removed/replaced |
| Project complexity | 5 | Assumes utility relocate |
| Aesthetic impact | 3 | Improved aesthetic for paddlers |
| Property ownership | 1 | Private; though easement for utilities unknown |
| Public education | 1 | NA |
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| Stream: Lower Vermillion River | Problem description: <br> Concrete slabs along eroding bank |
| :--- | :--- |
| Station: 55500-55700, right bank <br> Reach: 6 |  |
| Solution: Remove concrete slabs, stabilize with large wood |  |

Score

| Pollutant loading | 3 | Small site and minimal erosion |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| In-stream ecological benefit | 3 | Small site |
| Project cost | 3 | Assumes engineering design for large wood stabilization |
| Fish passage | 1 | NA |
| Riparian ecological benefit | 3 | Small site |
| Infrastructure risk | 1 | NA |
| Project complexity | 3 | Assumes engineering design for large wood stabilization |
| Aesthetic impact | 3 | Remove past project materials from river |
| Property ownership | 1 | Private |
| Public education | 1 | NA |
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| Stream: Lower Vermillion River | Problem description: <br> Minor eroding 6-ft bank along 200 ft of channel. No trees and shrubs to <br> provide root stabilization, but far from active agriculture. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Station: 54700-54900, left bank <br> Reach: 6 |  |
| Solution: Plant native trees and shrubs |  |

Score
Notes

| Pollutant loading | 3 | Minimal existing loading; far from agriculture fields |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| In-stream ecological benefit | 1 | Small site |
| Project cost | 7 | Plantings |
| Fish passage | 1 | NA |
| Riparian ecological benefit | 3 | Small site |
| Infrastructure risk | 1 | NA |
| Project complexity | 7 | Plantings |
| Aesthetic impact | 1 | No impact |
| Property ownership | 1 | Private |
| Public education | 1 | NA |

## Project Area Photo/Map Location



| Stream: Lower Vermillion River | Problem description: <br> Minor bank erosion along 4-ft bank. Few trees in riparian corridor. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Station: 55200-55400, left bank <br> Reach: 6 |  |
| Solution: Plant with native riparian trees and shrubs. |  |

Score
Notes

| Pollutant loading | 3 | Small site; minor current erosion |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| In-stream ecological benefit | 1 | Small site |
| Project cost | 7 | Plantings |
| Fish passage | 1 | NA |
| Riparian ecological benefit | 3 | Small site |
| Infrastructure risk | 1 | NA |
| Project complexity | 7 | Plantings |
| Aesthetic impact | 1 | No impact |
| Property ownership | 1 | Private |
| Public education | 1 | NA |
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| Stream: Lower Vermillion River | Problem description: <br> Concrete slab riprap along 300 ft long, 2 ft high bank. Mowed to edge of <br> bank. No riparian buffer |
| :--- | :--- |
| Station: $51400-51700$, right bank <br> Reach: 6 |  |
| Solution: Remove concrete slabs. Limit active mowing within about 30 feet of the channel. Plant native trees <br> and shrubs and seed. If needed, stabilize the bank, but this does not appear necessary geomorphically. |  |

## Score

Notes

| Pollutant loading | 3 | Runoff with no buffer; fertilizer or herbicide application unknown; <br> little erosion |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| In-stream ecological benefit | 1 | Small site |
| Project cost | 7 | Planting |
| Fish passage | 1 | NA |
| Riparian ecological benefit | 5 | Build riparian habitat along currently mowed edge |
| Infrastructure risk | 1 | NA |
| Project complexity | 7 | Plantings |
| Aesthetic impact | 3 | Remove past project materials from river |
| Property ownership | 1 | Private |
| Public education | 1 | NA |

## Project Area Photo/Map Location




| Stream: Lower Vermillion River | Problem description: <br> 5 ft high 100 ft long bank with sporadically placed concrete riprap. Eroding <br> between riprap. Narrow riparian zone at Station 48000 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Station: $47900-48100$, left bank <br> Reach: 5 |  |
| Solution: Remove concrete. Expand riparian buffer zone at Station 48000. Only stabilize banks if landowner is <br> concerned. |  |

Score
Notes

| Pollutant loading | 3 | Minor erosion |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| In-stream ecological benefit | 3 | Small site |
| Project cost | 7 | Plantings only, assumes no engineering design required |
| Fish passage | 1 | NA |
| Riparian ecological benefit | 3 | Small site |
| Infrastructure risk | 1 | NA |
| Project complexity | 7 | Plantings only, assumes no engineering design required |
| Aesthetic impact | 3 | Remove past project materials from river |
| Property ownership | 1 | Private |
| Public education | 1 | NA |
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| Stream: Lower Vermillion River | Problem description: <br> Mowed close to edge with little riparian buffer. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Station: $47100-47250$, right bank <br> Reach: 5 |  |
| Solution: Expand and plant riparian zone with native trees and shrubs. |  |


| Score |  | Notes |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| Pollutant loading | 3 | Assumes use of fertilizers on lawn |
| In-stream ecological benefit | 1 | Small site |
| Project cost | 7 | Plantings |
| Fish passage | 1 | NA |
| Riparian ecological benefit | 3 | Small site |
| Infrastructure risk | 1 | NA |
| Project complexity | 7 | Plantings |
| Aesthetic impact | 1 | No impact |
| Property ownership | 1 | Private |
| Public education | 1 | NA |
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| Stream: Lower Vermillion River | Problem description: <br> $150 '$ of eroding bank |
| :--- | :--- |
| Station: $46300-46500$, left bank <br> Reach: 5 | $7-8$ ' tall <br> Few trees and shrubs; agriculture is not close. |
| Solution: Expand and plant riparian zone. <br> Use Large wood to stabilize bank. |  |


| Score |  | Notes |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| Pollutant loading | 5 | Eroding bank and narrow riparian buffer |
| In-stream ecological benefit | 3 | Increase canopy cover |
| Project cost | 3 | Assumes large wood stabilization |
| Fish passage | 1 | NA |
| Riparian ecological benefit | 5 | Improve riparian buffer |
| Infrastructure risk | 1 | NA |
| Project complexity | 3 | Engineering design require for large wood stabilization |
| Aesthetic impact | 1 | No impact |
| Property ownership | 1 | Private |
| Public education | 3 | Close to neighborhoods |
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| Stream: Lower Vermillion River | Problem description: <br> Few trees on bank; little riparian habitat and cover; $<10 \%$ canopy cover <br> over the channel |
| :--- | :--- |
| Station: $44900-45100$, right bank <br> $44700-44900$, left bank |  |
| Reach: 5 |  |

Solution: Plant riparian zone with native trees and shrubs. This is primarily needed on the right bank, but also select areas on the left bank.

| Score |  | Notes |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| Pollutant loading | 3 | Minor erosion |
| In-stream ecological benefit | 3 | Increase canopy cover |
| Project cost | 7 | Planting |
| Fish passage | 1 | NA |
| Riparian ecological benefit | 3 | Small site |
| Infrastructure risk | 1 | NA |
| Project complexity | 7 | Planting |
| Aesthetic impact | 1 | No impact |
| Property ownership | 1 | Private |
| Public education | 5 | Close to neighborhoods and an easy project for public <br> participation |
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| Stream: Lower Vermillion River | Problem description: Little riparian tree cover; minor bank erosion; little in <br> stream habitat |
| :--- | :--- |
| Station: 42700-43100, left bank <br> $43200-43600$, right bank |  |
| Reach: 4 |  |$\quad$| Solution: Plant expanded riparian zone with native trees and shrubs. Could actively stabilize banks, but we |
| :--- |
| recommend expanded the riparian zone with plantings first and monitoring. |


| Score |  | Notes |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| Pollutant loading | 3 | Minor erosion |
| In-stream ecological benefit | 3 | Bank work, but longer project area |
| Project cost | 7 | Plantings |
| Fish passage | 1 | NA |
| Riparian ecological benefit | 3 | Bank work, but longer project area |
| Infrastructure risk | 1 | NA |
| Project complexity | 7 | Plantings |
| Aesthetic impact | 1 | No impact |
| Property ownership | 1 | Private |
| Public education | 1 | NA |
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| Stream: Lower Vermillion River | Problem description: Little riparian tree cover; little in stream habitat; <br> concrete slabs in channel, minor erosion |
| :--- | :--- |
| Station: $39800-40400$, left bank <br> Reach: 4 |  |
| Solution: Remove concrete from channel. Expand and plant riparian zone with native trees and shrubs. Use <br> large wood to increase habitat |  |


| Score |  | Notes |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| Pollutant loading | 3 | Minor erosion |
| In-stream ecological benefit | 3 | Increase canopy cover |
| Project cost | 3 | Assumes use of large wood |
| Fish passage | 1 | NA |
| Riparian ecological benefit | 3 | Slightly longer reach |
| Infrastructure risk | 1 | NA |
| Project complexity | 3 | Assumes use of large wood |
| Aesthetic impact | 3 | Remove past project materials |
| Property ownership | 1 | Private |
| Public education | 1 | NA |
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| Stream: Lower Vermillion River | Problem description: Narrow riparian buffer; minor erosion |
| :--- | :--- |
| Station: $38600-38700$, right bank <br> 38800-38900, left bank |  |
| Reach: 4 |  |

Solution: Plant trees along top of bank and along a wider riparian buffer. If desired, the bank could be stabilized with wood.

| Score |  | Notes |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| Pollutant loading | 5 | Eroding bank and narrow buffer |
| In-stream ecological benefit | 3 | Both banks |
| Project cost | 5 | Plantings |
| Fish passage | 1 | NA |
| Riparian ecological benefit | 3 | Both banks |
| Infrastructure risk | 1 | NA |
| Project complexity | 5 | Plantings |
| Aesthetic impact | 1 | No impact |
| Property ownership | 1 | Private |
| Public education | 1 | NA |
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| Stream: Lower Vermillion River | Problem description: <br> Riprap from historic bridge constricts river causing erosion or river right <br> and deposition on river left. This may exacerbate flooding and unstable <br> conditions near the downstream bridge. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Station: 37500, channel spanning <br> Reach: 4 | Solution: Model flood flows to determine if removal of remnant bridge pieces will reduce flooding potential or <br> instability around the downstream bridge. |


| Score |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Notes |  |  |  |
| Pollutant loading 1 Minimal pollutants under existing conditions <br> In-stream ecological benefit 1 Small site; no habitat work <br> Project cost 5 Could vary widely depending on model results and solution. <br> Fish passage 1 NA <br> Riparian ecological benefit 1 NA <br> Infrastructure risk 3 Minor - bridge is downstream <br> Project complexity 3 Could vary widely depending on model results and solution. <br> Aesthetic impact 1 No impact <br> Property ownership 1 Private, though not sure the exact boundary location between here and the <br> bridge <br> Public education 1 NA |  |  |  |
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| Stream: Lower Vermillion River | Problem description: <br> Mowed to edge |
| :--- | :--- |
| Station: 36400-36600, left bank <br> Reach: 4 |  |
| Solution: Expand and plant riparian buffer |  |


| Score |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| Pollutant loading | 3 | Assumes use of fertilizer on lawn |
| In-stream ecological benefit | 3 | Small site but plantings will provide some cover |
| Project cost | 7 | Plantings |
| Fish passage | 1 | NA |
| Riparian ecological benefit | 3 | Small site but plantings will improve adjacent ecology |
| Infrastructure risk | 1 | NA |
| Project complexity | 7 | Plantings |
| Aesthetic impact | 1 | No impact |
| Property ownership | 1 | Private |
| Public education | 1 | NA |
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| Stream: Lower Vermillion River | Problem description: <br> No trees along riparian vegetation; minor erosion |
| :--- | :--- |
| Station: 32800-33000, left bank <br> Reach: 3 |  |
| Solution: Expand and plant riparian buffer |  |


| Score |  | Notes |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| Pollutant loading | 3 | Minor erosion |
| In-stream ecological benefit | 3 | Small site; vegetations will provide cover |
| Project cost | 7 | Plantings |
| Fish passage | 1 | NA |
| Riparian ecological benefit | 3 | Small site, but plantings |
| Infrastructure risk | 1 | NA |
| Project complexity | 7 | Plantings |
| Aesthetic impact | 1 | No impact |
| Property ownership | 1 | Private |
| Public education | 1 | NA |
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| Stream: Lower Vermillion River | Problem description: <br> Narrow riparian buffer to agricultural field |
| :--- | :--- |
| Station: 31200-31300, left bank |  |
| $30200-30600$, left bank |  |
| $29300-29500$, left bank |  |
| $28400-28600$, left bank |  |
| Reach: 3 |  |
| Solution: Expand and plant riparian zone and plant trees along bank |  |


| Score |  | Notes |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| Pollutant loading | 5 | Narrow riparian buffer near agricultural field, minor erosion |
| In-stream ecological benefit | 3 | Primarily floodplain project |
| Project cost | 7 | Plantings |
| Fish passage | 1 | NA |
| Riparian ecological benefit | 5 | Could potentially cover large area |
| Infrastructure risk | 1 | NA |
| Project complexity | 7 | Plantings |
| Aesthetic impact | 1 | No impact |
| Property ownership | 1 | Private |
| Public education | 1 | NA |
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| Stream: Lower Vermillion River | Problem description: <br> Few riparian trees <br> No large wood/habitat in channel <br> Narrow riparian corridor 25100-26600 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Station: $25100-27700$, both banks <br> Reach: 3 | Solution: Plant trees and shrubs in an expanded riparian corridor. Install large wood in channel and banks to <br> increase aquatic habitat. |

Score
Notes

| Pollutant loading | 7 | Narrow riparian buffer near agricultural field |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| In-stream ecological benefit | 5 | Increase canopy cover over large area |
| Project cost | 5 | Assumes plantings only |
| Fish passage | 1 | NA |
| Riparian ecological benefit | 5 | Improve riparian are over large area |
| Infrastructure risk | 1 | NA |
| Project complexity | 7 | Assumes plantings only |
| Aesthetic impact | 3 | Improved aesthetic for paddlers |
| Property ownership | 1 | Private |
| Public education | 1 | NA |
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| Stream: Lower Vermillion River | Problem description: <br> Few riparian trees |
| :--- | :--- |
| Station: 23000-24400, both banks | No large wood habitat in channel |
| $22600-22700$, right bank | Bridge is undersized bottomless arch with no riparian passage <br> Reach: 3 |
| Slastic and concrete in channel from historic bank stabilization project <br> that can pass revised flood flows and provide terrestrial passage. |  |

Score
Notes

| Pollutant loading | 3 | Minor erosion |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| In-stream ecological benefit | 3 |  |
| Project cost | 1 | Without the bridge work, this would receive a score of 5. |
| Fish passage | 3 | NA |
| Riparian ecological benefit | 3 |  |
| Infrastructure risk | 3 | Undersized crossing - it is not in imminent danger, but <br> it appears to be undersized so that large floods put <br> added pressure on the bridge infrastructure. |
| Project complexity | 3 | Bridge designs and large wood designs needed |
| Aesthetic impact | 3 | Remove past project materials |
| Property ownership | 1 | Private |
| Public education | 5 | Easily accessible |
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| Stream: Lower Vermillion River | Problem description: <br> Narrow riparian corridor to agriculture and grazing <br> Little riparian tree cover and in-stream habitat |
| :--- | :--- |
| Station: 16800-20900 both banks | Grazed meander scars <br> $22000-2400, ~ l e f t ~ b a n k ~$ |
| Mowed to edge |  |


| Score |  | Notes |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| Pollutant loading | 5 | Little erosion, but a long reach with narrow riparian buffer |
| In-stream ecological benefit | 7 | Increased canopy cover over large area |
| Project cost | 3 | Large area = large costs |
| Fish passage | 1 | NA |
| Riparian ecological benefit | 7 | Plantings |
| Infrastructure risk | 1 | NA |
| Project complexity | 7 | Plantings |
| Aesthetic impact | 5 | Improved aesthetic for paddlers |
| Property ownership | 1 | Private |
| Public education | 1 | NA |
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| Stream: Lower Vermillion River | Problem description: <br> Mowed to edge on river right from 12900-13500; river left from 12200- <br> 13100. No riparian buffer between lawn and river |
| :--- | :--- |
| Station: $12200-13500$, both banks <br> Reach: 1 | Solution: Expand and plant riparian zone with native trees and shrubs and seed. Fertilizer and herbicide <br> application to lawns unknown. Little bank erosion, so it is mostly in need of riparian vegetation. |

Score
Notes

| Pollutant loading | 5 | Assumes fertilizer use on lawns |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| In-stream ecological benefit | 3 | Lengthy area for overhanging veg to provide some benefit |
| Project cost | 7 | Mostly planting |
| Fish passage | 1 | NA |
| Riparian ecological benefit | 7 | Plantings |
| Infrastructure risk | 1 | NA |
| Project complexity | 7 | Plantings |
| Aesthetic impact | 1 | No impact |
| Property ownership | 1 | Private |
| Public education | 5 | Great opportunity to educate residents and have contributions <br> during planting. |
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| Stream: Lower Vermillion River | Problem description: <br> Narrow riparian buffer between river and farm fields |
| :--- | :--- |
| Station: $11200-11650$, right bank <br> Reach : 1 |  |
| Solution: Expand and plant riparian zone |  |


| Score |  | Notes |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| Pollutant loading | 3 | Minor |
| In-stream ecological benefit | 3 | Small site |
| Project cost | 7 | Plantings |
| Fish passage | 1 | NA |
| Riparian ecological benefit | 3 | Small site |
| Infrastructure risk | 1 | NA |
| Project complexity | 7 | Plantings |
| Aesthetic impact | 1 | No impact |
| Property ownership | 1 | Private |
| Public education | 3 | Easy access |
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| Stream: Lower Vermillion River | Problem description: <br> Mowed to edge |
| :--- | :--- |
| Station: $10600-11200$, river left <br> Reach: 1 |  |
| Solution: Expand and plant riparian buffer |  |


| Score |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| Pollutant loading | 3 | Assumes fertilizer use on lawns |
| In-stream ecological benefit | 3 | Increase canopy cover |
| Project cost | 7 | Plantings |
| Fish passage | 1 | NA |
| Riparian ecological benefit | 5 | Increase riparian area |
| Infrastructure risk | 1 | NA |
| Project complexity | 7 | Plantings |
| Aesthetic impact | 1 | No impact |
| Property ownership | 1 | Private |
| Public education | 5 | Can educate multiple abutters, who can also be involved <br> in planting |
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| Stream: Lower Vermillion River | Problem description: <br> Mowed to edge |
| :--- | :--- |
| Station: 8200-9100, left bank <br> 9600-9700, left bank <br> Reach: 1 |  |
| Solution: Expand and plant riparian zone |  |

Score

| Pollutant loading | 5 | Little erosion, but a long reach of runoff; use of fertilizers and <br> herbicides is unknown |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| In-stream ecological benefit | 3 | Increase canopy cover |
| Project cost | 7 | Plantings |
| Fish passage | 1 | NA |
| Riparian ecological benefit | 5 | Increase riparian area |
| Infrastructure risk | 1 | NA |
| Project complexity | 7 | Plantings |
| Aesthetic impact | 1 | No impact |
| Property ownership | 1 | Private |
| Public education | 5 | Can educate multiple landowners and have them involved in <br> planting |
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| Stream: Lower Vermillion River | Problem description: <br> Slightly eroding bank leading into the stabilized levee on river left. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Station: $7100-7400$, left bank <br> Reach: 1 |  |
| Solution: Stabilize with large wood |  |


| Score |  | Notes |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| Pollutant loading | 3 | Minor erosion |
| In-stream ecological benefit | 3 | Increase large wood habitat |
| Project cost | 5 | For large wood stabilization |
| Fish passage | 1 | NA |
| Riparian ecological benefit | 1 | Bank erosion |
| Infrastructure risk | 1 | NA |
| Project complexity | 5 | For large wood stabilization |
| Aesthetic impact | 1 | Little impact |
| Property ownership | 1 | Private |
| Public education | 1 | NA |
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| Stream: Lower Vermillion River | Problem description: <br> Mowed to edge between the flood control levees on the right side and the <br> channel. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Station: $5700-6300$ <br> Reach: 1 |  |
| Solution: Expand and plant riparian buffer while still allowing for flood control. |  |


| Score |  | Notes |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| Pollutant loading | 1 | No existing load |
| In-stream ecological benefit | 3 | Increase canopy cover |
| Project cost | 7 | Plantings |
| Fish passage | 1 | NA |
| Riparian ecological benefit | 5 | Improve riparian zone |
| Infrastructure risk | 1 | NA |
| Project complexity | 7 | Plantings |
| Aesthetic impact | 3 | Minor improvements for owner |
| Property ownership | 1 | Private |
| Public education | 5 | Close to densely populated neighborhoods; could engage <br> residents to help plant |
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| Stream: Lower Vermillion River | Problem description: <br> Minor bank erosion and few riparian trees. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Station: 5000-5300, right bank <br> Reach: 1 |  |
| Solution: Stabilize with large wood and plant native trees and shrubs |  |


| Score |  | Notes |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| Pollutant loading | 3 | Minor erosion |
| In-stream ecological benefit | 3 | Small site |
| Project cost | 5 | Large wood stabilization |
| Fish passage | 1 | NA |
| Riparian ecological benefit | 3 | Small site |
| Infrastructure risk | 1 | NA |
| Project complexity | 5 | Large wood stabilization |
| Aesthetic impact | 1 | No impact |
| Property ownership | 1 | Private |
| Public education | 5 | Near large residential population; easy access |
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| Stream: Lower Vermillion River | Problem description: <br> 8' tall Eroding bank near road |
| :--- | :--- |
| Station: $4400-4500, ~ l e f t ~ b a n k ~$ <br> Reach: 1 |  |
| Solution: Model and analyze the hydraulics at this location for proper design. As the channel is migrating <br> towards the road, this is a critical bank stabilization project. The designs could include large wood for bank <br> stabilization, but there may not be sufficient room for this method, so rock may need to be used instead. |  |

Score
Notes

| Pollutant loading | 3 | Eroding bank |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| In-stream ecological benefit | 3 | Small site |
| Project cost | 5 | Small site but critical spot with the road nearby |
| Fish passage | 1 | NA |
| Riparian ecological benefit | 3 | Small site |
| Infrastructure risk | 5 | Road at the outside of the meander bend |
| Project complexity | 3 | Road nearby - tight construction |
| Aesthetic impact | 3 | Minor improvements |
| Property ownership | 1 | Town owned? |
| Public education | 3 | Easy access |

Project Area Photo/Map Location


| Stream: Lower Vermillion River | Problem description: <br> Mowed to edge |
| :--- | :--- |
| Station: 3500-3800, right bank <br> Reach: 1 |  |
| Solution: Expand and plant riparian buffer |  |


| Score |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| Pollutant loading | 3 | Notes buffer to prevent runoff |
| In-stream ecological benefit | 1 | Small site |
| Project cost | 7 | Plantings |
| Fish passage | 1 | NA |
| Riparian ecological benefit | 1 | Small site |
| Infrastructure risk | 1 | NA |
| Project complexity | 7 | Plantings |
| Aesthetic impact | 1 | No impact |
| Property ownership | 1 | Unsure of ownership |
| Public education | 1 | Assumes private property |

## Project Area Photo/Map Location



## 11. Appendix E

## Potential Project Summary



| Primary Project Type |
| :--- |
| Bank Stabilization |
| Infrastructure Improvement |
| Riparian Management |

## Metrics for scoring potential projects

| Metric Score: | 1 | 3 | 5 | 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pollutant loading | No reduction in sediment/nutrient loading | Reduction in rate of bank erosion | Reduction in rate of bank erosion, establish buffer to reduce runoff | Reduction in bank erosion, establish buffer to reduce runoff, bank erosion poses infrastructure risk |
| In-stream Ecological <br> Benefit | No in-stream ecological benefit | Low benefit - Spot location, small size | Moderate benefit - subreach based, moderate sized project | High benefit - Reach based, $>1000 \mathrm{ft}$ of stream |
| Project cost | > \$300K | \$201- \$300K | \$51-200K | \$0-\$50K |
| Fish Passage | No impact on fish passage | Low impact (eg. improve depth through culvert, minimal velocity reduction) | Moderate impact (removes perch or other small barrier, natural bottom culvert replacement) | High impact (dam removal) |
| Riparian Ecological Benefit | No riparian ecological benefit | Low benefit - Spot location, small size | Moderate benefit - subreach based, moderate sized project | High benefit - Reach based, large riparian areas, floodplain scale |
| Infrastructure risk | No risk to infrastructure with no action, no infrastructure present, or no risk to public safety | Low to moderate infrastructure risk and minimal risk to public safety with no action, or inf. value $<\$ 50,000$ | Infrastructure at moderate but not immediate risk, moderate public safety risk, no potential injury, or infrastructure value $<\$ 500,000$ | Infrastructure at high, immanent risk of failure with no action, or potential loss of life. Public safety at risk or infrastructure value $>\$ 500,000$ |
| Project complexity | Groundwater and surface water issues, professional specialty design services required, heavy oversight, major earthwork, EAW/EIS permitting | Surface water restoration, engineering plans required, earthwork involved, significant permitting | Moderately complex, no specialty engineering required, minor earthwork, some basic permitting | Elementary solution, shelf design, volunteer and hand labor implementation, no permits |
| Aesthetic impact | No impact | Low impact | Moderate positive impact | High positive impact |
| Property Ownership | 1: private property | 3: NA | 5: public property | 7: NA |
| Public Education | No public education value | Low value - Poor site access, difficult to see, small project | Moderate value - Good access, moderate demonstration value | High value - Easy access, cooperating landowner, good demonstration and high visual impact |

12. Appendix F Reach and Potential Project Location Maps
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