

		Score			
Review Criteria	Points Allotted				
Total Cost					
Total Hours					
Labor Cost					
Average Staff Rate (labor total/labor hours)					
Expenses					
Qualifications of PM and Key Staff	15				
Experience with H&H modeling, BMP siting/feasibility, pollutant load reduction modeling, cost-benefit analyses, LGU relations, GIS and other complex datasets	Comments				
Fee Estimate and Value of Estimate	25				
Justification of hours for upper-level staff not directly involved with project deliverables, use of trusted modeling softwares without overextending budget, use of modeling software that will be supported long-term and integrates well with other models	Comments				
Project Understanding and Approach	39				
Poises MS4 communities for immediate-term and long-term flood resilience; incorporates adaptations that achieve diverse benefits; unique approach with justification of methodology; includes cost-benefit analysis of proposed BMPs; consideration for the watershed as a whole rather than siloed cities; deliverables based on current land use (not future)	Comments				
Staff time and availability for meetings	5				
Aligns with proposed amount of meetings, ensures LGU engagement	Comments				
Quality of reference example projects and previous experience with firm	10				
Experience with studies of a similar nature and scale, references provide positive feedback regarding work with proposed firm during example projects	Comments				
Meets Guidelines in RFP	3				
Provide 3 points if they do meet guidelines and 0 if they do not.	Comments				
Schedule/Responsiveness	3				
Aligns with schedule	Comments				
	Other Relevant Comments				
Total Score	100				